4

Single Bench

1

CF1002

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)**

**W.P. No (S) 5360 /2006**

**PETITIONER:**

R.K. Bani S/o- Shri L. Bani,
Aged about 40 years, working as Tracer in the office of Assistant Commissioner Tribal Development, Durg R/o. Subhash Nagar Durg District - Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5276/06 Presented by Bhavesh on 21/9/06 dated

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS:**

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary
Department of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes Development
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya Raipur (C.G.)

2. Commissioner, Schedule Caste and Scheduled Tribes Development Chhattisgarh R.S. University Campus Raipur (C.G.)

3. Collector,
District Durg (C.G.)

4) Assistant Commissioner
Scheduled Tribes Development Durg (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
21/9/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

HIGH COURT
KARNA
MALVIYA
CG 2075/96
20/09/06
BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

**PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRITS AND DIRECTIONS:-**

HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

**आदेश पत्रक**

**मामला क्रमांक** W.P.No. 5360/06 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | **18/10/2006**<br><br>Shri Jitendra Pali, counsel for the petitioner.<br>Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy Advocate General with Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, Govt. Advocate for all the respondents.<br>Heard on admission and M.W.P.No.4135/2006.<br>Petitioner has challenged the impugned order by which he has been placed under suspension under Rule 9 of the Madhya Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1966 (henceforth, "the Rules").<br>Rule 9, as a whole after amendment prescribes a complete scheme regarding placing a government servant under suspension, and its revocation. Here in the instant case, in the suspension order, in detail the reasons for suspension have been assigned and if within the prescribed period petitioner is not provided with article of charge and other documents, he is at liberty to move appropriate authority for revocation of his suspension.<br>For the foregoing reasons, I am of the opinion that the impugned order does not call for any interference at this stage. Therefore, the petition deserves to be dismissed summarily and is accordingly dismissed. | |

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

## आदेश पत्रक

**मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200**

**..... विरुद्ध .....**

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2- | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| raju | In view of the above order, M.W.P.No/4135/2006 and I.A.No. 10195/2006 for listing the case in Diwali Holidays and I.A.No. 10204/2006 for urgent hearing/out of turn hearing stand disposed of.<br><br>**Sd/-**<br>**V.K.Shrivastava**<br>**Judge** | |

(पीछे देखिये)